

**IN THE NOOKSACK TRIBAL COURT OF APPEALS
NOOKSACK INDIAN TRIBE
DEMING, WASHINGTON**

**In re Gabriel S. Galanda, pro se, Anthony S.
Broadman, pro se, and Ryan D.
Dreveskracht,**

Petitioners,

v.

Nooksack Tribal Court.,

Respondent.

Court No. 2016-CI-CL-002

**Order on Motion to Enforce Contempt
Order**

On July 25, 2016, we entered the following order:

“...that on or before August 3, 2016, the Nooksack Chief of Police shall show cause in writing and supported by an affidavit or declaration why he should not be held in contempt of this Court and ordered to pay \$1,000.00 per day until he purges the contempt by complying with our June 28th Order directing the Court Clerk be jailed until she accepts petitioners filings.

It is further ordered that the Chief of Police shall show cause why he should not be sanctioned for failing to comply with our June 28th Order by paying petitioners’ reasonable costs and other fees incurred in bringing this motion.


The Nooksack Chief of Police, like the Court Clerk, has failed to comply with our order. We are forced to find the Nooksack Chief of Police in contempt. We find it astounding that the Chief of Police, who is sworn to enforce the law, would so blatantly violate his duty by ignoring a lawful order of the Nooksack Court. That both the Court Clerk and Chief of Police have failed to comply with our orders without offering any reason makes us fearful that at Nooksack the rule of law is dead.

Therefore, it is ordered that the Nooksack Chief of Police shall pay a sanction of \$1,000.00 per day beginning August 4, 2016 until such time as he purges the contempt by complying with our July 28th Order. He is also ordered to pay petitioners reasonable costs for bringing the motion leading to our July 28th Order. Petitioners shall file with this Court an accounting of their costs on or before August 20, 2016.

We also find that petitioners have likely exhausted the remedies this Court can provide on the issue that is the subject of these orders.¹

It is so ordered, this 15 day of August, 2016, for the panel,

Douglas Nash, Associate Judge
Gregory Silverman, Associate Judge


Eric Nielsen, Chief Judge

¹ We note that petitioner Galanda filed a response to our order on August 4, 2016. The response is replete with pejoratives and sarcasm. While we sympathize with his frustration at the turn of events this litigation has taken, it is no reason to abandon professionalism.