

CLIMATE EMERGENCY POLLING

SUMMER 2019

NATIONAL SURVEY OF 2,000 CANADIANS









METHODOLOGY

The survey was conducted online with 2,000 Canadian residents aged 18 and over, from July 16th to 19th, 2019. A random sample of panelists were invited to complete the survey from a set of partner panels based on the Lucid exchange platform. These partners are typically double opt-in survey panels, blended to manage out potential skews in the data from a single source.

The margin of error for a comparable probability-based random sample of the same size is +/-2.19%, 19 times out of 20.

The data were weighted according to census data to ensure that the sample matched Canada's population according to age, gender, educational attainment, and region. Totals may not add up to 100 due to rounding.

This poll was commissioned by Seth Klein, adjunct professor with Simon Fraser University's Urban Studies program (as part of research for a book he is writing on the climate emergency), with support from the Canadian Centre for Policy Alternatives – BC Office and the Corporate Mapping Project.



ISSUES FACING CANADA



To what extent, if at all, do you feel the following issues are an extremely serious problem, a serious problem, not that serious a problem, or not a problem at all?



FEELINGS ABOUT CLIMATE CHANGE

I think about it often and am getting really anxious about it

I think about it sometimes and am getting increasingly worried about what impact it will have

I honestly don't think about it all that much

I don't think climate change or global warming is real or something for us to worry about





Being honest with us for a moment, which of the following BEST describes how you're feeling about climate change these days?

FEELINGS ABOUT CLIMATE CHANGE

GENDER	ALL	25%	49%	19%	7%
	Male	24%	45%	23%	8%
	Female	26%	53%		5% 5%
AGE					
	18 - 29	32%	49%		4% 5%
	30 - 44	26%	51%	19	% <mark>4</mark> %
	45 - 59	22%	48%	21%	9 %
	60 and over	24%	48%	20%	8%
REGION	BC	21%	56%	20	9/ 20/
	AB	17%	41%	25%)% <u>3%</u> 16%
	SK/MB	20%	<u>41%</u> 44%	25%	11%
	ON	26%	51%	169	
	QC	34%	45%		6% 4 %
INCOME	ATL	16%	57%	23%	
	Less than \$50,000	28%	47%	19%	6%
	\$50,000 to \$100,000	24%	51%	19%	6%
EDUCATION	Over \$100,000	22%	52%	19%	7%
	HS or less	27%	45%	20%	9%
	College	24%	52%	19%	6%
	University	26%	51%	189	
	📕 I think at	pout it often and am getting	g really anxious about it		
			etting increasingly worried about what i	mpact it will have	
		y don't think about it all tha		•	

I don't think climate change or global warming is real or something for us to worry about

ABACUS

CLIMATE CHANGE EMERGENCY

Climate change is now an emergency

Climate change is not yet an emergency but will likely be one in the next few years

Climate change is a serious problem but shouldn't be described as an emergency

Climate change is not something we should be that concerned about





Thinking specifically about climate change, which of the following do you think BEST describes the situation?

CLIMATE CHANGE EMERGENCY

GENDER	ALL	42 %		20%	21%		12%	5%
	Male	38%		19%	23%		14%	5%
	Female	45%		21%		9 %	9%	6%
AGE	18 - 29	50%		15%		21%	9%	5%
	30 - 44	41%		22%		%	10%	4%
	45 - 59	39%		22%	18%		5%	6%
	60 and over	39%		20%	23%		12%	6%
REGION	BC	190/		249/		00/	00/	70/
	AB	42% 27%	20%	24% 22%		9% 24%	8%	7% 8%
	SK/MB	32%	26%		20%	159	6	<u>8%</u>
	ON	38%		22%	22%		13%	4%
	QC	59	%		12%	19%		<mark>⁄6 4</mark> %
INCOME	ATL	39%		24%		26%		7%
	Less than \$50,000	46%		18%	19	%	11%	6%
	\$50,000 to \$100,000	39%		22%	24%		11%	4%
EDUCATION	Over \$100,000	37%		23%	22%		14%	4%
	HS or less	40%		20%	19%	139	6	9 %
	College	42%		20%	23	%	11%	3%
	University	43%		20%	22	%	11%	4%
		Climate change is now an emerg Climate change is not yet an eme Climate change is a serious prob Climate change is not something Unsure	ergency but will likely lem but shouldn't be	described as an er				

CLIMATE CHANGE REPRESENTS A MAJOR THREAT TO THE FUTURE OF OUR CHILDREN AND GRANDCHILDREN



How much do you agree or disagree with the following statement: Climate change represents a major threat to the future of our children and grandchildren?



CLIMATE CHANGE REPRESENTS A MAJOR THREAT TO THE FUTURE OF OUR CHILDREN AND GRANDCHILDREN

GENDER	ALL	49%	32%	<mark>8% 5% 6</mark> %
OLIVELI	Male	44%	35%	10% 6% 5%
	Female			29% 6% 3% 7%
AGE	18 - 29	59%		28% 6% 3% 4%
	30 - 44	52%	3	1% 7% 4% 5%
	45 - 59	45%	31%	10% 6% 8%
	60 and over	45%	36%	8% 5% 6%
REGION	BC	46%	38%	90/ 20/ 50/
	AB	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	8% 3% 5% 14% 13% 6%
	SK/MB	<u> </u>	32%	
	ON	44 % 50%	32%	
	QC	<u> </u>	3170	30%7%4%4%
INCOME	ATL	56%		30 % 7 % 4 % 33% 6% 2%3%
	Less than \$50,000	50%	319	6 8% 4% 7 %
	\$50,000 to \$100,000	<u> </u>	34	
EDUCATION	Over \$100,000	49%	31%	9% 7% 4%
LDOCATION				
	HS or less	47%	32%	8% 6% 7%
	College	51%	31	
	University	50%	32%	6% <mark>7% 5%</mark> 6%
	Strongly agree	Agree Disagree	Strongly disagree	Don't know / no opinion

How much do you agree or disagree with the following statement: Climate change represents a major threat to the future of our children and grandchildren?



EXPERIENCED THE EFFECTS OF CLIMATE CHANGE



ABACUS DA

To what extent have you or someone close to you experienced the effects of climate change (such as living with the consequences of changing weather patterns or severe weather events such as flooding, wild fires, droughts or intense heat waves)?

EXPERIENCED THE EFFECTS OF CLIMATE CHANGE

GENDER	ALL	13%	37%		23%	21%	6%
	Male	14%	35%		23%	22%	5%
	Female	13%	39%		23%	19%	6%
AGE							
AUL	18 - 29	18%	41%		249	6 11%	7%
	30 - 44	16%	42%		21%	14%	7%
	45 - 59	11%	37%		22%	23%	6%
	60 and over	10%	30%	26%		30%	4%
REGION							
REGION	BC	17%	37%		22%	18%	6%
	AB	11%	35%		2%	25%	7%
	SK/MB	8%	35%		30%	18%	8%
	ON	15%	38%		22%	19%	6%
	QC	10%	39%		24%	23%	5%
INCOME	ATL	16%	33%		27%	21%	3%
					000/	010/	
	Less than \$50,000	14%	36%		22%	21%	6%
	\$50,000 to \$100,000	13%	38%		25%	19%	5%
EDUCATION	Over \$100,000	13%	39%		23%	22%	3%
	HS or less	12%	34%	21	1%	25%	8%
	College	13%	38%		23%	20%	5%
	University	14%	39%		25%	16%	6%
	In a major way	To some ex		or way	Not at al		

To what extent have you or someone close to you experienced the effects of climate change (such as living with the consequences of changing weather patterns or severe weather events such as flooding, wild fires, droughts or intense heat waves)?



THE FUTURE OF ENERGY

In the future, we should produce energy and electricity using 100% clean and renewable sources, such as hydro, solar, wind, tidal, and geothermal

I support shifting towards clean and renewable energy and electricity, but don't think producing 100% this way is possible

Shifting to clean and renewable energy/electricity sources like hydro, solar and wind is costly and unnecessary



Given the connections between climate change and burning fossil fuels to produce energy and electricity, which of the following do you agree with more?



THE FUTURE OF ENERGY

GENDER	ALL	44%	37%	<mark>11%</mark> 8%
	Male	43%	39%	12% 5%
	Female	45%	36%	9% 10%
AGE				
	18 - 29	51%	30%	12% 7%
	30 - 44	50%	33%	11% 6%
	45 - 59	38%	40%	13% 9%
	60 and over	41%	44%	7% 8%
REGION				
RECION	BC	48%	38%	9% 4%
		28%	47%	16%9%
	SK/MB	41%	43%	8% 8%
	ON	45%	34%	12% 9%
	QC	49%	35%	<mark>8%</mark> 8%
INCOME	ATL	47%	42%	<mark>7%</mark> 3%
	Less than \$50,000	48%	34%	8% 10%
	\$50,000 to \$100,000	43%	41%	11% 5%
EDUCATION	Over \$100,000	41%	42%	14% 4%
	HS or less	39%	39 %	10% 12%
	College	47%	36%	10% 6%
	University	47%	37%	11% 5%

In the future, we should produce energy and electricity using 100% clean and renewable sources, such as hydro, solar, wind, tidal, and geothermal

I support shifting towards clean and renewable energy and electricity, but don't think producing 100% this way is possible

Shifting to clean and renewable energy/electricity sources like hydro, solar and wind is costly and unnecessary

Not sure

Given the connections between climate change and burning fossil fuels to produce energy and electricity, which of the following do you agree with more?



BY HOW MUCH SHOULD FOSSIL FUELS BE REDUCED TO EFFECTIVELY COMBAT CLIMATE CHANGE

We don't need to reduce the use of any fossil fuels

We need to reduce some fossil fuel use, but we don't need to fundamentally change the way we live or how the economy works

We need to reduce fossil fuel use a lot, changing much of how we produce energy, how we get around, and how our economy works

We need to move away from fossil fuel use almost completely, fundamentally changing the way we create energy and how our economy works

l'm not sure



In order to combat climate change, scientists say we must substantially reduce the amount of fossil fuels we use in all aspects of our lives, in society, and in the economy. This includes oil, coal, and natural gas. In your view, by how much should fossil fuels be reduced to effectively combat climate change?



BY HOW MUCH SHOULD FOSSIL FUELS BE REDUCED TO EFFECTIVELY COMBAT CLIMATE CHANGE

GENDER	ALL	6%	22%	38%		24%	10%
GLIIDLIK	Male	9%	24%	37%		23%	7%
	Female	4%	20%	38%	2	6%	12%
AGE	18 - 29	6%	20%	36%		29%	9%
	30 - 44	6%	22%	35%		26%	10%
	45 - 59	9%	22%	37%		21%	11%
	60 and over	4%	23%	42%		22%	9%
REGION	BC	3%	18%	43%		27%	9%
	AB	15		35%	31%		12% 7%
	SK/MB	4%	27%	34%		1%	14%
	ON	7%	21%	35%		27%	11%
	QC	5%	18%	42%		26%	9 %
INCOME	ATL	5%	19%	43%		23%	9%
	Less than \$50,000	6%	20%	37%		25%	11%
	\$50,000 to \$100,000	5%	24%	39%		25%	7%
	Over \$100,000	9%	24%	40%		21%	
EDUCATION							
	HS or less	7%	23%	34%		21%	14%
	College	5%	21%	39%		25%	10%
	University	7%	21%	40%		27%	5%
			use of any fossil fuels				
				ed to fundamentally change the way we live o f how we produce energy, how we get around			

We need to reduce fossil fuel use a lot, changing much of how we produce energy, how we get around, and how our economy works

We need to move away from fossil fuel use almost completely, fundamentally changing the way we create energy and how our economy works

In order to combat climate change, scientists say we must substantially reduce the amount of fossil fuels we use in all aspects of our lives, in society, and in the economy. This includes oil, coal, and natural gas. In your view, by how much should fossil fuels be reduced to effectively combat climate change?



POSSIBILITY OF REACHING RECOMMENDED GHG TARGETS



The United Nations Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (the world's top climate scientists) recently issued a report warning that carbon pollution – global greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions –must be cut in half by 2030, and that the world must be carbon-zero by 2050. Do you think it is definitely possible, probably possible, probably not possible, or definitely not possible for the world to achieve this goal (or do you oppose even trying)?



POSSIBILITY OF REACHING RECOMMENDED GHG TARGETS

GENDER	ALL 📕	16%	37%	27%	<mark>7% 4</mark> % 9%
	Male	16%	34%	27%	9% 6% 7%
	Female	15%	40%	279	
AGE					
	18 - 29	26%	36		20%5%3%9%
	30 - 44	18%	38%	26	
	45 - 59	10%	39%	28%	8% 6% 9%
	60 and over	12%	34%	32%	10% 4% 8%
REGION	BC	16%	36%	31%	6% 4% 7%
	AB	10%	31%	29%	10%10% 10%
	SK/MB	14%	33%	28%	7% 5% 13%
	ON	18%	37%	24%	<mark>8% 4% 9%</mark>
	QC 📕	16%	39%	289	<mark>% 6% 2% 9%</mark>
INCOME	ATL	18%	38%	26	<mark>% 6% 2% 9</mark> %
	Less than \$50,000	18%	36%	25%	7% 3% 11%
	\$50,000 to \$100,000 📕	15%	38%	29%	7% 3% 7%
EDUCATION	Over \$100,000	13%	38%	30%	8% 7% 4%
	HS or less	15%	36%	23%	6% 6% 13%
	College	17%	35%	28%	<mark>9% 3% 8</mark> %
	University	15%	39%	30%	6 <mark>6% 4% 7</mark> %
	Definitely possible			Probably possible	
	Probably not possible			Definitely not possible	
	■ I am opposed to even	trying to reach the	se targets	Unsure	
		a ying to reach the			ABACUS DA

Do you think it is definitely possible, probably possible, probably not possible, or definitely not possible for the world to achieve this goal (or do you oppose even trying)?





Do you think the current federal government is doing too much, too little, or is doing enough to combat climate change?



	Too much	Doing end	ough 🗧 Too little	
	- T			- 11
	University	10% 22%	57%	12%
	College	8% 16%	60%	16%
	HS or less	11% 18%	53%	18%
EDUCATION	Over \$100,000	14% 20%	55%	11%
	\$50,000 to \$100,000	8% 20%	58%	14%
	Less than \$50,000	8% 17%	58%	18%
INCOME	ATL	7% 23%	50%	20%
		5% 18%	66%	12%
	ON	9% 17%	58%	16%
	SK/MB	15% 22 9		19%
	AB	22%	19% 43%	16%
REGION	BC	7% 19%	57%	17%
	60 and over	9% 24%	48%	18%
	45 - 59	12% 18%	53%	18%
	30 - 44	9% 17%	63%	12%
AGE	18 - 29	7% 14%	66%	13%
	Female	5% 15%	60%	20%
	_ Male	14% 22%		11%
GENDER				
	ALL	9% 18%	57%	16%

Do you think the current federal government is doing too much, too little, or is doing enough to combat climate change?



- Like we did in WWII, our governments need to be ambitious and mobilize everyone to do what is necessary to combat climate change and quickly move our society and economy off fossil fuels
- Governments need to be measured and cautious, making sure that moving our society and economy off fossil fuels is not too costly and doesn't displace too many jobs

Neither of these make sense to me

People have different views on the best way to combat climate change. Some have suggested Canada needs to mobilize on the scale it did in World War Two – the last time the country faced a fundamental threat to our way of life. Others have suggested that government action needs to be measured and cautious. Which of the following comes closest to your view?



GENDER	ALL	33%	47%	21%
OLNDER	Male	32%	50%	18%
	Female	33%	44%	23%
AGE	18 - 29	40%	39%	21%
	30 - 44	38%	46%	16%
	45 - 59	30%	47%	23%
	60 and over	25%	54%	21%
REGION	BC	31%	52%	17%
	AB	19%	54%	27%
	SK/MB	27%	46%	27%
	ON 🗾	32%	46%	22%
	QC 🗾	43%	41%	15%
INCOME	ATL	32%	50%	18%
	Less than \$50,000	34%	44%	21%
	\$50,000 to \$100,000	31%	52%	17%
	Over \$100,000	33%	48%	19%
EDUCATION	HS or less	31%	44%	25%
	College	32%	48%	20%
- 1 8	University	35%	49%	16%

Like we did in WWII, our governments need to be ambitious and mobilize everyone to do what is necessary to combat climate change and quickly move our society and economy off fossil fuels

Governments need to be measured and cautious, making sure that moving our society and economy off fossil fuels is not too costly and doesn't displace too many jobs

Neither of these make sense to me

People have different views on the best way to combat climate change. Some have suggested Canada needs to mobilize on the scale it did in World War Two – the last time the country faced a fundamental threat to our way of life. Others have suggested that government action needs to be measured and cautious. Which of the following comes closest to your view?



THE CLIMATE EMERGENCY REQUIRES THAT OUR GOVERNMENTS ADOPT A WARTIME-SCALE RESPONSE, MAKING MAJOR INVESTMENTS TO RETOOL OUR ECONOMY, AND MOBILIZING EVERYONE IN SOCIETY TO TRANSITION OFF FOSSIL FUELS TO RENEWABLE ENERGY.



How much do you agree or disagree with the following statement?

The climate emergency requires that our governments adopt a wartime-scale response, making major investments to retool our economy, and mobilizing everyone in society to transition off fossil fuels to renewable energy.



THE CLIMATE EMERGENCY REQUIRES THAT OUR GOVERNMENTS ADOPT A WARTIME-SCALE RESPONSE, MAKING MAJOR INVESTMENTS TO RETOOL OUR ECONOMY, AND MOBILIZING EVERYONE IN SOCIETY TO TRANSITION OFF FOSSIL FUELS TO RENEWABLE ENERGY.

GENDER	ALL	21%	37%		18%	8%	16%
	Male	22%	37%		20%	10%	11%
	Female	19%	38%		16% 6%		
AGE	18 - 29	28%		40%	13%	4%	15%
	30 - 44	24%	4	1%	15%	6%	13%
	45 - 59	17%	34%	18%	12%		0%
	60 and over	15%	35%	24			17%
REGION	BC	18%	40%		17%	3%	17%
	AB	11%	28%	27%	19%		16%
	SK/MB	18%	33%	22%			18%
	ON	21%	38%		17%	7%	17%
	QC 📘	27%		41%	15%	4%	14%
INCOME	ATL	22%	37%		18%	<mark>4%</mark> 1	9%
	Less than \$50,000	23%	38%		16%	6%	18%
	\$50,000 to \$100,000	1 9 %	39%		20%	7%	15%
EDUCATION	Over \$100,000	17%	40%		21%	11%	11%
	HS or less	20%	34%	17	% 7%	229	%
	College	20%	37%		20%	7%	16%
	University	22%	41%		17%	9 %	11%
	Strongly agree	Agree	Disagree S	itrongly disagree	Don't kr	now / no opir	nion

How much do you agree or disagree with the following statement?

The climate emergency requires that our governments adopt a wartime-scale response, making major investments to retool our economy, and mobilizing everyone in society to transition off fossil fuels to renewable energy.



THINGS CANADA COULD DO TO REDUCE GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS

Transition all government vehicles in its fleet to electric vehicles (like Canada Post trucks/vans) over the next 5 years	31%	
Require all new buildings and homes in Canada to heat space and water using electricity and not gas, propane, or oil by 2022	27%	
Phase out the extraction and export of fossil fuels (oil, gas, and coal) over the next 20 to 30 years	21%	
Require all existing buildings and homes to switch their fuel source for heating off oil, gas, or propane by 2040	21%	
End the use of all coal, gas, and oil-generated electricity by 2030	19%	35%
Ban the sale of all new gas-powered vehicles (cars, vans & trucks) by 2030	20%	31%
Definitely possible	possible	Probably not





END THE USE OF ALL COAL, GAS, AND OIL-GENERATED ELECTRICITY BY 2030

GENDER	ALL	19%	35%		34%	12%
	Male	20%	32%		33%	15%
	Female	18%	37%		35%	10%
AGE						
	18 - 29	22%	39%		32%	7%
	30 - 44	22%	37%		29%	12%
	45 - 59	16%	33%		38%	13%
	60 and over	16%	30%		38%	16%
REGION	BC	17%	39 %		35%	9%
	AB 📕	11%	27%	34%		29%
	SK/MB	15%	29%	40	%	17%
	ON 📕	18%	34%		37%	11%
	QC 📕	26%	399	%	28%	7%
INCOME	ATL	20%	31%		38%	11%
	Less than \$50,000	22%	34%		33%	11%
	\$50,000 to \$100,000	16%	36%		36%	12%
EDUCATION	Over \$100,000	18%	33%		33%	16%
	HS or less	19%	33%		35%	13%
	College	20%	33%		36%	11%
	University	17%	38%		31%	13%
	Definitely possible	Probably		ly not possible	Definitely I	



PHASE OUT THE EXTRACTION AND EXPORT OF FOSSIL FUELS (OIL, GAS, AND COAL) OVER THE NEXT 20 TO 30 YEARS

GENDER	ALL	21%	42%	28%	9%
	Male	22%	38%	28%	11%
	Female	20%	46%	28%	
AGE	40.00	600/			
	18 - 29	28%	44%		23% 5%
	30 - 44	25%	43%	24%	
	45 - 59	18%	41%	30%	11%
	60 and over	16%	40%	34%	11%
REGION	BC	23%	44%	27%	6%
	AB	13%	33%	34%	20%
	SK/MB	17%	31%	32%	19%
	ON 🗾	22%	42%	28%	8%
	OC 🗾	23%	48%		25% 4%
INCOME	ATL	24%	40%	29%	
	Less than \$50,000	24%	42%	28%	7%
	\$50,000 to \$100,000	20%	42%	28%	10%
EDUCATION	Over \$100,000	18%	40%	29 %	13%
	HS or less	20%	41%	29%	9%
	College	22%	40%	28%	9%
	University	21%	44%	26%	10%
	Definitely possible	Probably possible	e 🗧 Probably not possible	e Definitely	not possible



BAN THE SALE OF ALL NEW GAS-POWERED VEHICLES (CARS, VANS & TRUCKS) BY 2030

GENDER	ALL	20%	31%	3	32%	
	Male	21%	30%	31	31%	
	Female	19%	32%		4%	18% 16%
AGE	18 20	0/0/			0/0/	4.00/
	18 - 29	26%	37%		26%	12%
	30 - 44	26%	34%	0.40/	26%	14%
	45 - 59 60 and over	17%	30%	34%		19%
	ou and over	13%	25%	41%		21%
REGION	BC	20%	34%		35%	11%
	AB 📕	11%	27%	33%		29%
	SK/MB	19%	23%	31%		26%
	ON 📕	21%	32%		31%	16%
	QC 📕	23%	33%		31%	13%
INCOME	ATL 📕	24%	27%	3	3%	16%
	Less than \$50,000	20%	33%		31%	16%
	\$50,000 to \$100,000	21%	30%	32	%	17%
EDUCATION	Over \$100,000	19%	30%	32%		20%
	HS or less	17%	30%	32%		20%
	College	21%	31%		31%	17%
	University	21%	32%		33%	14%
	Definitely possible	Probably po		ly not possible		



TRANSITION ALL GOVERNMENT VEHICLES IN ITS FLEET TO ELECTRIC VEHICLES (LIKE CANADA POST TRUCKS/VANS) OVER THE NEXT 5 YEARS

GENDER		31%	43%	20% 6%
OLINDLIN	Male	31%	41%	20% 7%
	Female	31%	45%	20% 5%
AGE				
_	18 - 29	31%	42%	21% 6%
	30 - 44	33%	45%	17% 5%
	45 - 59	30%	43%	21% 6%
	60 and over	30%	41%	22% 7%
REGION	BC	35%	43%	19% 4%
	AB	22%	43%	
	SK/MB			
	ON	24%	42%	25% 9%
		34%	41%	19% 5%
		28%	47%	21% 5%
INCOME	ATL	35%	45%	17% 3%
	Less than \$50,000	31%	44%	19% 6%
	\$50,000 to \$100,000	32%	44%	19% 5%
EDUCATION	Over \$100,000	31%	40%	20% 8%
	HS or less	29%	43%	20% 8%
	College	33%	41%	21% 5%
	University	30%	45%	19% 6%
	Definitely possible	Probably possible	Probably not possible	Definitely not possible

ABACUS DATA

REQUIRE ALL NEW BUILDINGS AND HOMES IN CANADA TO HEAT SPACE AND WATER USING ELECTRICITY AND NOT GAS, PROPANE, OR OIL BY 2022

GENDER	ALL	27%	38%	24%	10%
	Male	28%	37%	23%	12%
	Female	27%	40%	25%	9%
AGE	18 - 29	34%	37%	22%	6 7%
	30 - 44	<u> </u>	40%	20%	
	45 - 59	23%	42%	25%	10%
	60 and over	23%	35%	28%	15%
REGION	BC	27%	43%	22%	8%
	AB	16%	34%	30%	20%
	SK/MB	31%	32%	25%	12%
	ON 🗾	22%	39%	27%	12%
	QC	39%		42%	16% 4%
INCOME	ATL	32%	31%	26%	10%
	Less than \$50,000	29%	39%	24%	9%
	\$50,000 to \$100,000	26%	40%	24%	10%
EDUCATION	Over \$100,000	27%	35%	24%	14%
	HS or less	27%	38%	23%	11%
	College	27%	38%	25%	10%
	University	29%	39%	23%	9%
	Definitely possible	Probably possible	Probably not possi	ble D efinitely no	t possible



REQUIRE ALL EXISTING BUILDINGS AND HOMES TO SWITCH THEIR FUEL SOURCE FOR HEATING OFF OIL, GAS, OR PROPANE BY 2040

GENDER	ALL	21%	41%		28%	10%
	Male	24%	38%		27%	12%
	Female	19%	44%		28%	9%
AGE	18 - 29	28%	489			9% 5%
	30 - 44	26%	43%	/0	23%	<u>978</u> 9%
	45 - 59	18%	<u> </u>		31%	12%
	60 and over	15%	36%	35	5%	13%
REGION	BC	20%	42%		29%	9%
	AB	13%	33%	32%		22%
	SK/MB	17%	35%		5%	13%
	ON	20%	43%		28%	10%
	QC 🗾	29%	44%	6		2% 5%
INCOME	ATL	23%	39%		30%	8%
	Less than \$50,000	23%	41%		27%	9%
	\$50,000 to \$100,000	21%	43%		28%	8%
EDUCATION	Over \$100,000	17%	39%		30%	14%
	HS or less	21%	39%		29%	11%
	College	21%	41%		29%	9%
	University	22%	42%		26%	10%
	Definitely possible	Probably possil	ble 📃 Probably not j	possible	Definitely not	

ABACUS DATA

SUPPORT FOR THINGS CANADA COULD DO TO REDUCE GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS

by 2040 Ban the sale of all new gas-powered vehicles (cars,	23%	19%
Require all existing buildings and homes to switch their fuel source for heating off oil, gas, or propane	25%	25
End the use of all coal, gas, and oil-generated electricity by 2030	26%	2
Phase out the extraction and export of fossil fuels (oil, gas, and coal) over the next 20 to 30 years	26%	2
Require all new buildings and homes in Canada to heat space and water using electricity and not gas, propane, or oil by 2022	30%	
Transition all government vehicles in its fleet to electric vehicles (like Canada Post trucks/vans) over the next 5 years	34%	

28% 22% <mark>6%</mark> 5% <u>5%</u> 25% 23% 9% 7% 6% 24% 24% 11% 7% 8% 23% 7% 25% 12% 7% 5% 24% 11% 8% 7% 25% 15% 12% 7% Strongly oppose No opinion e



SUPPORT FOR THINGS CANADA COULD DO END THE USE OF ALL COAL, GAS, AND OIL-GENERATED ELECTRICITY BY 2030

GENDER	ALL	26%	23%		25%	12%	7% 7%
	Male	28%	20%		24%	13%	9% 5%
	Female	25%	25%		25%	11%	5% 8%
AGE							
	18 - 29	36%		27%			<mark>% 4%</mark> 7%
	30 - 44	30%		24%	23%	9%	<mark>6%</mark> 8%
	45 - 59	23%	23%		23%	15%	8% 8%
	60 and over	20%	19%		31%	15%	10% 5%
REGION	BC	24%	25%		31%	1	<mark>1% 4%</mark> 6%
	AB			0%	22%	239	
	SK/MB	20%	15%	24%	23		<mark>9% 9</mark> %
	ON	26%	25%	6	24%	11%	6% 7%
	QC 🛛	37%		23%	24	4%	<mark>6% 3%</mark> 7%
INCOME	ATL 📕	28%	24	4%	24%	10%	5% 9%
	Less than \$50,000	29%	22	%	24%	11%	6% 8%
	\$50,000 to \$100,000	25%	23%		26%	15%	6% 5%
EDUCATION	Over \$100,000	23%	28%		24%	12%	9% 4 %
	HS or less	26%	20%		25%	11%	<mark>9%</mark> 9%
	College 📕	28%	23	%	24%	13%	5% 7%
	University	26%	25%		26%	11%	8% 4%
	Strongly support	Support	Can accept	Oppose	Strongly opp	ose	No opinion



PHASE OUT THE EXTRACTION AND EXPORT OF FOSSIL FUELS (OIL, GAS, AND COAL) OVER THE NEXT 20 TO 30 YEARS

GENDER	ALL	26%		24%	24%	11% 7%	8%
	Male	26%		22%	24%	13% 9%	6%
	Female	26%		26%	25%		2%
AGE							
	18 - 29		88%			18% <mark>7% 4</mark> %	7%
	30 - 44 📕	31%		24%	23%		9%
	45 - 59	21%		6%	23%		7%
	60 and over	19%	21%		31%	16% 8%	5%
REGION	ВС	27%		29%	23%	9% 5%	7%
	AB 🗧	12%	17%	21%	24%	21%	5%
	SK/MB	20%	13%	23%	21%	7% 15%	
	ON S	26%		25%	25%	<mark>9% 6</mark> %	8%
	QC 📘	34'	%	25%	24	4% <mark>8% 2</mark> %	7%
INCOME	ATL 📕	29%		23%	28%	9% 4%	7%
	Less than \$50,000	29%		25%	22%	9% 6% 9	9%
	\$50,000 to \$100,000	26%		23%	26%	13% 6%	6%
EDUCATION	Over \$100,000	21%	2	5%	26%	15% 9%	4%
	HS or less	26%		21%	23%	12% 8% 10	0%
	College	27%		23%	25%	12% 5%	8%
	University	25%		28%	24%	10% 8%	5%
	Strongly support	Support	Can accept	Oppose	Strongly op	pose 🔹 No opinion	



BAN THE SALE OF ALL NEW GAS-POWERED VEHICLES (CARS, VANS & TRUCKS) BY 2030

GENDER	ALL	23%	19 9	6	25%	15%	12% 7%	
	Male	24%	179	6	23%	16%	14% 5%	
	Female	22%	21%		26%	14%	9% 8%	
AGE								
AGE	18 - 29	32%		23%	2	0% 12	<mark>% 7% 6</mark> %	
	30 - 44	29%		19%	24%	12%	9% 7%	
	45 - 59	19%	20%		22%	18%	14% 7%	
	60 and over	15%	15%	30%	6	18%	16% 6%	
REGION								
REGION	BC	23%	189		29%	13%	10% 6%	
	AB	12%	18%	21%	21%		24% 4 %	5
	SK/MB	20%	11%	21%	19%			
	ON	23%	19		26%	15%	<mark>9%</mark> 7%	_
		28%		22%	22%	13%	10% 5%	
INCOME	ATL	24%	2)%	27%	13%	7% 8%	
	Less than \$50,000	250/		00/	000/	4 50/	4.00/ 00/	
	\$50,000 to \$100,000	25%		9%	22%	15%	10% 8%	
	Over \$100,000	<u>23%</u> 19%	189	0	25%	14%	13% 6% 12% 29	
EDUCATION	Over \$100,000	17%	21%		30%	17%	12% 29	
	HS or less	21%	17%		22%	15% 1	5% 10%	
	College	25%	1	9%	24%	17%	10% 5%	
	University	23%	21		28%	13%	11% 4%	
	Strongly support	Support	Can accept	🗖 Орр	oose 🗧 Stroi	ngly oppose	No opinion	



TRANSITION ALL GOVERNMENT VEHICLES IN ITS FLEET TO ELECTRIC VEHICLES (LIKE CANADA POST TRUCKS/VANS) OVER THE NEXT 5 YEARS

GENDER	ALL	34%	28%	22%	6% 5% 5%
	Male	35%	26%	21%	8% 6% 4%
	Female	32%	30%	23%	5% 4% 6%
AGE	18 - 29	41%	299	% 17%	5% 3% 5%
	30 - 44	37%	28%	19%	5% 4% 7%
	45 - 59	31%	29%	22%	7% 6% 6%
	60 and over	29 %	27%	28%	7% 5% 3%
REGION	BC	37%	29%	21%	4% 3% 5%
	AB	22%	26%	28%	10% 11% 3%
	SK/MB	26%	29%	26%	<mark>6% 5</mark> % 8%
	ON S	34%	28%	21%	<mark>6% 5%</mark> 6%
	OC I	38%	28%	20%	<mark>7% 3%</mark> 5%
INCOME	ATL	39%	28%	21%	5% 3% 4%
	Less than \$50,000	35%	28%	21%	<mark>6% 3%</mark> 7%
	\$50,000 to \$100,000 📕	34%	27%	23%	7% 4% 4%
EDUCATION	Over \$100,000	32%	31%	22%	<mark>6% 6% 3%</mark>
	HS or less	31%	27%	22%	7% 6% 7%
	College	36%	26%	24%	<mark>6% 4% 5%</mark>
	University	34%	32%	20%	<mark>6% 5% 4</mark> %
	Strongly support	Support Ca	n accept 🗾 Oppose	Strongly oppose	No opinion



REQUIRE ALL NEW BUILDINGS AND HOMES IN CANADA TO HEAT SPACE AND WATER USING ELECTRICITY AND NOT GAS, PROPANE, OR OIL BY 2022

GENDER	ALL	30%		25%	23%		9% 7 %	6%
	Male	30%		23%	23%	10	% 9%	5%
	Female	29%		27%		4%		7%
AGE	18 - 29	4	1%		26%	19%	5% 2	<mark>%</mark> 6%
	30 - 44	33%		25%		22%	7% 5%	7%
	45 - 59	25%		26%	21%	10%	10%	8%
	60 and over	22%	23	%	30%	12	% 9 %	<mark>6 3%</mark>
REGION	BC	28%		27%	2!	5%	9% 5%	<mark>6 5</mark> %
	AB 📕	16%	22%	26	5%	14%	17%	5%
	SK/MB	28%		18%	22%	14%	6% 1	12%
	ON E	28%		25%	23%		% <mark>8</mark> %	7%
	QC		0%		27%	21%		<mark>2%</mark> 5%
INCOME	ATL	32%		26%		25%	8%	5% 4%
	Less than \$50,000	32%		24%	24	%	8% 5%	7%
	\$50,000 to \$100,000 📕	29%		25%	24%		10% 7 %	
EDUCATION	Over \$100,000	24%		31%	23%	6	10% 8 9	<mark>% 3</mark> %
	HS or less	28%		22%	26%	9%	7%	8%
	College	31%		25%	23	%	9% 7%	6%
	University	29%		29%		22%	9% 7 9	<mark>% 4</mark> %
	Strongly support	Support	Can accept	Oppose	Strongly	oppose	No opinio	on


SUPPORT FOR THINGS CANADA COULD DO

REQUIRE ALL EXISTING BUILDINGS AND HOMES TO SWITCH THEIR FUEL SOURCE FOR HEATING OFF OIL, GAS, OR PROPANE BY 2040

GENDER	ALL	25%	259	%	24%	11%	8% 7%
	Male	27%	23	3%	23%	12%	10% 5%
	Female	23%	27%		25%	10%	7% 8%
AGE	18 - 29	34%		26%	40	% 10)% 5% 7%
	30 - 44	28%		<u> </u>	21%	8%	6% 8%
	45 - 59	21%	26%		21%	12%	11% 8%
	60 and over	18%	21%		32%	14%	11% 4%
REGION	BC	23%	26%		29%	49	2% 6% 4%
	AB	12%	19%	27%	16%		1% 5%
	SK/MB	19%	18%	24%			% 11%
	ON	25%	25	%	23%	11%	8% 8%
	QC	33%		27%			<mark>8% 4%</mark> 7%
INCOME	ATL 📕	24%		32%	22%	8%	7% 7%
	Less than \$50,000	26%		27%	22%	10%	7% 8%
	\$50,000 to \$100,000	26%	22%		27%	14%	7% 5%
EDUCATION	Over \$100,000	1 9 %	30%		24%	12%	11% 4%
	HS or less	24%	22%		24%	11%	10% 9%
	College	26%	24	%	23%	12%	8% 7%
	University	24%	28	3%	25%	109	<mark>6 8% 5</mark> %
	Strongly support	Support	Can accept	Oppose	Strongly o	opose	No opinion

Assuming it is technically and politically possible to do all of the following, do you support or oppose the same actions, or do you not have strong opinions either way?



SUPPORT INVESTMENT IN RENEWABLE ENERGY



Would you support or oppose our governments making massive investments in new green infrastructure, such as renewable energy (solar panel fields, wind farms, geothermal energy, tidal energy), building retrofits, high-speed rail, mass public transit, and electric vehicle charging stations, as well as reforestation?



SUPPORT INVESTMENT IN RENEWABLE ENERGY

GENDER	ALL	33%	42%	<mark>8% 5% 12%</mark>
	Male	34%	41%	8% 6% 9%
	Female	31%	43%	7% 4% 15%
AGE		100/		
	18 - 29	43%		36% 6% 4% 11%
	30 - 44	38%	41	
	45 - 59	30%	41%	8% 7% 14%
	60 and over	23%	50%	10% 5% 12%
REGION	BC	35%	45%	6 5% 4% 10%
	AB	22%	40%	13% 13% 12%
	SK/MB	30%	40%	<mark>8% 5%</mark> 17%
	ON	32%	43%	7% 5% 13%
	QC	38%	409	<mark>6% 3%</mark> 12%
INCOME	ATL	38%		46% 7% 1% 7%
	Less than \$50,000	34%	42%	7% 4% 13%
	\$50,000 to \$100,000	31%	45%	8% 6% 11%
EDUCATION	Over \$100,000	34%	44%	<mark>7% 8%</mark> 7%
	HS or less	31%	38%	8% 6% 16%
	College	33%	44%	8% 4% 11%
	University	34%	44%	7% 6% 9%
	Strongly support	Support	Oppose Stro	ngly oppose 🔹 Unsure

Would you support or oppose our governments making massive investments in new green infrastructure, such as renewable energy (solar panel fields, wind farms, geothermal energy, tidal energy), building retrofits, high-speed rail, mass public transit, and electric vehicle charging stations, as well as reforestation?



TRANSITIONING THE ECONOMY AND SOCIETY AWAY FROM FOSSIL FUELS

If governments provided financial support to low and modestincome households to help them transition away from fossil fuels

If your own income taxes didn't increase as a result of the plan

If the wealthy and large corporations were required to contribute more in taxes to help pay for this plan

If the government committed to pay a sizeable "climate action dividend" (similar to the GST credit) to all low and modestincome households to help offset rising energy costs

If the federal government committed to a "good jobs guarantee" for all people currently employed in the oil, gas, and coal industry

41%	38%	7% 3% 11%
45%	33%	<mark>6%2%</mark> 13%
46%	32%	7% 4% 10%
39%	38%	<mark>8% 4%</mark> 12%
34%	39%	<mark>8% 4%</mark> 15%

Much more supportive Somewhat more supportive Somewhat less supportive Much less supportive It wouldn't impact your views



IF THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT COMMITTED TO A "GOOD JOBS GUARANTEE" FOR ALL PEOPLE CURRENTLY EMPLOYED IN THE OIL, GAS, AND COAL INDUSTRY

GENDER	ALL	34%	39%	<mark>8% 4%</mark> 15%
	Male	31%	38%	9% 5% 16%
	Female	37%	40%	<mark>6% 2</mark> % 15%
AGE	18 - 29	42%	32%	10% 4% 12%
	30 - 44	<u> </u>	<u>32 /₀</u> 37%	8% 2% 17%
	45 - 59	32%	38%	8% 4% 18%
	60 and over	29%	46%	7% 4% 14%
REGION	BC	31%	43%	8% 2% 16%
	AB	30%	<u> </u>	7% 4% 16%
	SK/MB	34%	37%	7% 4% 17%
	ON E	35%	39%	7% 4% 15%
	QC	33%	37%	<mark>9% 4%</mark> 17%
INCOME	ATL	44%	34%	<mark>7% 3</mark> % 11%
	Less than \$50,000	37%	37%	7% 4% 15%
	\$50,000 to \$100,000	33%	41%	<mark>9% 3%</mark> 14%
EDUCATION	Over \$100,000	31%	44%	7% 4% 13%
	HS or less	35%	38%	7% 3% 18%
	College	37%	38%	<mark>9% 3</mark> % 13%
	University	30%	42%	<mark>8% 4%</mark> 16%

Much more supportive Somewhat more supportive Somewhat less supportive Much less supportive lt wouldn't impact your views



IF THE WEALTHY AND LARGE CORPORATIONS WERE REQUIRED TO CONTRIBUTE MORE IN TAXES TO HELP PAY FOR THIS PLAN

GENDER	ALL	46%	32%	7% 4% 10%
_	Male	44%	32%	8% 6% 10%
	Female	48%	32%	<mark>6% 3</mark> % 11%
AGE				
	18 - 29	42%	35%	<mark>9% 5%</mark> 8%
	30 - 44	49 %	29%	<mark>8% 5% 9</mark> %
	45 - 59	45%	32%	<mark>6% 3%</mark> 14%
	60 and over	47%	33%	<mark>7% 4%</mark> 10%
REGION	BC	440/	250/	
	AB	46%	35%	7% 3% 8%
		31%	38%	8% 8% 15%
	SK/MB	44%	34%	3% 5% 14%
	ON	49%	31%	6% 5% 9%
	QC	46%	29%	11% 4% 10%
INCOME	ATL	58%		31% 2% % 8%
	Less than \$50,000	50%	30%	6% 3% 10%
	\$50,000 to \$100,000	42%	36%	10% 5% 8%
EDUCATION	Over \$100,000	45%	33%	6% 5% 10%
EDUCATION				
	HS or less	45%	32%	6% 4% 13%
	College	50%	30%	8% 4% 10%
	University	43%	35%	<mark>9% 5%</mark> 9%

Much more supportive Somewhat more supportive Somewhat less supportive Much less supportive lt wouldn't impact your views



IF YOUR OWN INCOME TAXES DIDN'T INCREASE AS A RESULT OF THE PLAN

GENDER	ALL	45%	33%	<mark>6% 2</mark> % 13%
	Male	43%	34%	6% 2% 13%
	Female	47%	32%	<mark>6% 2</mark> % 13%
AGE				
	18 - 29	47%	32%	<mark>8% 2%</mark> 12%
	30 - 44 📕	47%	30%	7% 2% 14%
	45 - 59	43%	33%	<mark>6% 3%</mark> 16%
	60 and over	45%	37%	<mark>5% 2</mark> % 12%
DECION				
REGION	BC	42%	40%	<mark>7% 0</mark> % 11%
	AB 📕	31%	43%	<mark>7% 3%</mark> 16%
	SK/MB	42%	31%	7% 2% 18%
	ON I	46%	31%	7% 3% 13%
	QC 📘	51%	30%	5% 2% 13%
INCOME	ATL	58%		27% 2%% 11%
	Less than \$50,000	46%	31%	6% 2% 14%
	\$50,000 to \$100,000	46%	35%	6% 2% 11%
EDUCATION	Over \$100,000	46%	35%	5% 3% 11%
LDUCATION				
	HS or less	44%	34%	<mark>6% 2</mark> % 14%
	College	47%	32%	5% 2% 13%
	University	44%	33%	<mark>8% 2</mark> % 13%

Much more supportive Somewhat more supportive Somewhat less supportive Much less supportive lt wouldn't impact your views



IF GOVERNMENTS PROVIDED FINANCIAL SUPPORT TO LOW AND MODEST-INCOME HOUSEHOLDS TO HELP THEM TRANSITION AWAY FROM FOSSIL FUEL

GENDER	ALL	41%	38%	7% 3% 11%
	Male	39%	39%	7% 4% 11%
	Female	43%	38%	6% 2% 11%
AGE	18 - 29	48%	34%	7% 3% 8%
	30 - 44	<u> </u>	37%	8% 3% 10%
	45 - 59	39%	36%	6% 4% 15%
	60 and over	37%	45%	<mark>5% 3%</mark> 9%
REGION	BC	41%	39%	8% 3% 9%
	AB	24%	46% 37% 8°	
	SK/MB	39%	39%	3% 4% 14%
	ON III	42%	37%	<mark>7% 3%</mark> 10%
	QC	44%	38%	<mark>6% 2</mark> % 10%
INCOME	ATL	55%	31%	<mark>5%1% 9</mark> %
	Less than \$50,000	47%	35%	5% 2% 11%
	\$50,000 to \$100,000	38%	42%	7% 4% 9%
EDUCATION	Over \$100,000	34%	43%	<mark>9% 4%</mark> 10%
	HS or less	42%	37%	<mark>6% 3%</mark> 13%
	College	45%	38%	<u>6% 2% 10%</u>
	University	36%	41%	<mark>9% 5</mark> % 10%

Much more supportive Somewhat more supportive Somewhat less supportive Much less supportive It wouldn't impact your views



IF THE GOVERNMENT COMMITTED TO PAY A SIZEABLE "CLIMATE ACTION DIVIDEND" (SIMILAR TO THE GST CREDIT) TO ALL LOW AND MODEST-INCOME HOUSEHOLDS TO HELP OFFSET RISING ENERGY COSTS

GENDER	ALL	39%	38%	<mark>8% 4% 12</mark> %
	Male	37%	37%	9% 5% 11%
	Female	40%	38%	<mark>7% 3%</mark> 12%
AGE				
_	18 - 29	42%	37%	10% 4% 7%
	30 - 44	42%	33%	<mark>9% 4</mark> % 12%
	45 - 59	37%	37%	<mark>6% 4%</mark> 16%
	60 and over	35%	42%	7% 4% 11%
REGION	BC	38%	36%	12% 4% 10%
	AB	27%	36% 10%	8% 18%
	SK/MB	33%	43%	5% 3% 16%
	ON STATE	38%	38%	<mark>8% 4%</mark> 11%
	QC C	41%	39%	<mark>7% 3%</mark> 10%
INCOME	ATL	57%	30	0% 4% 2% 6%
	Less than \$50,000	43%	37%	6% 3% 11%
	\$50,000 to \$100,000	38%	37%	10% 5% 10%
EDUCATION	Over \$100,000	31%	43%	9% 6% 11%
	HS or less	38%	39%	7% 3% 14%
	College	44%	34%	8% 4% 11%
	University	33%	41%	<mark>9% 6%</mark> 10%

Much more supportive Somewhat more supportive Somewhat less supportive Much less supportive lt wouldn't impact your views



LIKELIHOOD TO PURCHASE A "GREEN VICTORY BOND"



One idea for how to help pay the cost of transitioning the economy away from fossil fuels would be to sell "Green Victory Bonds" (which would be like "Victory Bonds" that were sold during World War II to help pay for the costs of the war). The money raised from these Green Victory Bonds would be used to pay for public infrastructure needed to respond to and tackle climate change (such as public transit, high-speed rail, building retrofits and renewable energy projects). They would also offer a reasonable rate of return for those who buy them. Given this, how likely are you to purchase a "Green Victory Bond"?



LIKELIHOOD TO PURCHASE A "GREEN VICTORY BOND"

GENDER	ALL	11%	19%	35%	12%	9% 14%
	Male	13%	21%	35%	12%	10% 9%
	Female	9%	18%	36%		<mark>% 19</mark> %
AGE	18 - 29	14%	23%	33%	9%	5% 15%
	30 - 44	13%	23%	<u> </u>	10%	
	45 - 59	8%	19%	33%	14%	12% 14%
	60 and over	8%	16%	38%	12%	12% 13%
DECION						
REGION	BC	11%	18%	40%	12%	5% 13%
	AB	5% 1	6%	34%	13% 1	9% 13%
	SK/MB	8%	14%	37%	11% 11 °	<mark>% 18</mark> %
	ON	9 %	19%	37%	13%	7% 14%
	QC	15%	23%	29%	9%	<mark>9%</mark> 14%
INCOME	ATL	15%	23%	35%	9	<mark>% 6%</mark> 13%
	Less than \$50,000	11%	20%	34%	11%	9% 16%
	\$50,000 to \$100,000	11%	20%	38%	12%	7% 12%
EDUCATION	Over \$100,000	12%	20%	38%	12%	
	HS or less	8%	17%	31%	14% 11%	19%
	College	12%	18%	39%	10%	8% 13%
	University	11%	24%	36%	11	
	Certain to	Likely to	Might consider it	Unlikely to	Certain not to	



Given this, how likely are you to purchase a "Green Victory Bond"?

AWARE OF "GREEN NEW DEAL"





AWARE OF "GREEN NEW DEAL"

GENDER	ALL 🗧	14%	19%		67%	
OLNDLK	Male	17%	21%		62%	
	Female	11%	17%		72%	
AGE	18 - 29	19%	23%		57%	
	30 - 44	15%	21%		64%	
	45 - 59	13%	15%		73%	
	60 and over	11%	19%		70%	
REGION	BC	16%	21%		63%	
	AB	12%	19%		69%	
	SK/MB	9%	18%		73%	
	ON OC	17% 11%	17% 24%		66% 65%	
INCOME	ATL	15%	12%		73%	
			400/			
	Less than \$50,000 = \$50,000 to \$100,000 =	14% 13%	19% 18%		67% 69%	
EDUCATION	Over \$100,000	19%	20%		61%	
	HS or less	11%	15%		74%	
	College	12%	22%		67%	
	University	20%	20%		59%	
	<mark>–</mark> Yes,	definitely		I think so	No No	



FAMILIARITY WITH "GREEN NEW DEAL"

THOSE AWARE OF "GREEN NEW DEAL"





How familiar would you say you are with what "A Green New Deal" refers to?

FAMILIARITY WITH "GREEN NEW DEAL"

THOSE AWARE OF "GREEN NEW DEAL"

GENDER	ALL 📕	17%	45%	38%
GERDER	Male Female	21% 12%	44% 46%	<u> </u>
AGE	18 - 29 30 - 44 45 - 59	19% 22%	56% 47%	25% 31%
	45 - 59 60 and over	15% 10%	47% 29%	<u> </u>
REGION	BC AB	13% 17%	52% 48%	36% 34%
	SK/MB ON	14%	40% 44%	47% 38%
INCOME	QC ATL	17% 20%	41% 49%	42% 31%
EDUCATION	Less than \$50,000 \$50,000 to \$100,000 Over \$100,000	17% 12% 24%	42% 50% 43%	41% 38% 33%
	HS or less College University	18% 12% 21%	44% 40% 50%	37% 48% 30%
	Very familia	r	Somewhat familiar	Only vaguely heard about it



How familiar would you say you are with what "A Green New Deal" refers to?

SUPPORT FOR A MADE-IN-CANADA GREEN NEW DEAL THOSE AWARE OF "GREEN NEW DEAL"





SUPPORT FOR A MADE-IN-CANADA GREEN NEW DEAL THOSE AWARE OF "GREEN NEW DEAL"

GENDER	ALL 📕	29 %	40%	8%	8% 14%
	Male 📕	29%	41%	8%	9% 13%
	Female	30%	40%		7% 15%
AGE	40.00	0 40/			
	18 - 29	34%	38%	8%	6% 14%
	30 - 44	31%	39%	10%	5% 15%
	45 - 59	29%	38%		2% 14%
	60 and over	24%	46%	7%	10% 13 %
REGION	BC	27%	45%	9%	7% 11%
	AB 📕	23%	32%	1% 15%	18%
	SK/MB	30%	41%	13%	<mark>3%</mark> 12%
	ON 📕	32%	39%	6%	10% 14%
	QC 📕	27%	43%	9%	5% 16 %
INCOME	ATL	42%		41%	4% 6% 7%
	Less than \$50,000	32%	42%	7%	8% 12%
	\$50,000 to \$100,000	27%	41%	11%	7% 14%
EDUCATION	Over \$100,000	26%	44%	7%	12% 11%
	HS or less	30%	38%	9%	10% 12%
	College	27%	43%		7% 15%
	University	31%	40%	7%	<mark>9%</mark> 14%
	Strongly support	Somewhat support	Somewhat oppose	Strongly oppose	



From what you know, do you support or oppose a made-in-Canada Green New Deal?

SUPPORT FOR A GREEN NEW DEAL



Here's a definition of the Green New Deal: "A Green New Deal is an ambitious vision for tackling the twin crises of climate change and inequality. It would see us cut our greenhouse gas emissions by at least 50% by 2030, while leaving no one behind. It would be a comprehensive plan to massively invest in green infrastructure and renewable energy, and to transform our economy to address the scale of the climate emergency and deepening inequalities. It would see the creation of millions of jobs in the areas of economic/energy transition, affordable housing construction, reforestation, and in the caring economy (education, child care, elder care, etc)." Based on this description, is this something you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose, or strongly oppose or do you not have clear views?



SUPPORT FOR A GREEN NEW DEAL

JENDER ALL		34%	34% 38%		<mark>6% 6% 17</mark> %	
	Male	32%	40%	6% 7 %	14%	
	Female 35%		36%	<mark>6%</mark> 4%		
AGE 18 - 29		40%	37%	6%	6% 11%	
	30 - 44	<u> </u>	37%	7% 4 %		
	45 - 59 30				21%	
	60 and over	31%	41%	7% 6% 6% 6%	17%	
REGION	BC	35%	38%	5% 5%	17%	
	AB	20%		0% 11%	22%	
SK/MB		29%	38%	4% 5%	24%	
	ON 🗾	35%	37%	7% 5%	16%	
	QC	36%	41%	6%	5% 13%	
NCOME ATL		43%	36	% <mark>4</mark> %	4% 13%	
	Less than \$50,000	36%	37%	6% 4 %	18%	
9	\$50,000 to \$100,000	33%	40%	8% 49		
DUCATION	Over \$100,000	36%	37%	6% 1	<mark>0%</mark> 11%	
	HS or less	29%	38%	6% 6%	21%	
	College	36%	37%	<mark>6% 5</mark> %	15%	
	University	36%	39%	7%	5% 13%	

do you not have clear views?

ABACUS DA Based on this description, is this something you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose, or strongly oppose or

AS CLIMATE CHANGE PROGRESSES AND MORE PEOPLE ARE DISPLACED BY MAJOR WEATHER EVENTS AROUND THE WORLD, CANADA HAS A RESPONSIBILITY TO ACCEPT HIGHER NUMBERS OF CLIMATE MIGRANTS AND REFUGEES



Do you agree or disagree with the following statement: As climate change progresses and more people are displaced by major weather events around the world, Canada has a responsibility to accept higher numbers of climate migrants and refugees?



AS CLIMATE CHANGE PROGRESSES AND MORE PEOPLE ARE DISPLACED BY MAJOR WEATHER EVENTS AROUND THE WORLD, CANADA HAS A RESPONSIBILITY TO ACCEPT HIGHER NUMBERS OF CLIMATE MIGRANTS AND REFUGEES

GENDER	ALL	14%	31%	20%	16%	19 %
	Male	15%	32%	19%	18%	16%
	Female	13%	30%	22%	14%	21%
AGE						
	18 - 29	21%	35		5%	20%
	30 - 44	1 9%	32%	18%	13%	19%
	45 - 59	11%	28%	21%	23%	16%
	60 and over	7%	30%	25%	18%	19%
REGION	BC	13%	35%	19%	12%	21%
	AB	11%	31%	23%	24%	12%
	SK/MB	12%	26%	22%	21%	19%
	ON	15%	30%	20%	15%	20%
	QC	15%	32%	21%	15%	18%
INCOME	ATL	18%	34%	16%	13%	19%
	Less than \$50,000	16%	26%	20%	15%	23%
	\$50,000 to \$100,000	13%	36%	20%	16%	15%
EDUCATION	Over \$100,000	13%	38%	21%	17 9	6 10%
	HS or less	12%	25%	21%	18%	23%
	College	13%	32%	22%	16%	17%
	University	17%	36 %	18%	14%	16%
	Strongly agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Don't know	/ no opinion

Do you agree or disagree with the following statement: As climate change progresses and more people are displaced by major weather events around the world, Canada has a responsibility to accept higher numbers of climate migrants and refugees?



DO YOU CURRENTLY WORK IN THE OIL, GAS, OR COAL INDUSTRY OR IN A JOB CLOSELY RELATED





Do you currently work in the oil, gas, or coal industry, or in a job closely related to those sectors?

DO YOU CURRENTLY WORK IN THE OIL, GAS, OR COAL INDUSTRY OR IN A JOB CLOSELY RELATED

GENDER	ALL	5% 4%	84%		6%
	Male	8% 5%	83	0/	5%
	Female	3%4%	<u> </u>	//0	8%
	i endie	3 /0 -+ /0	8078		0 /0
AGE	18 - 29	9% 6%	75%		10%
	30 - 44	9% 7%			6%
	45 - 59			8%	
	60 and over	<mark>4% 3%</mark>	87%		6%
	ou and over	78%	94%		4%
REGION	BC	<mark>6%</mark> 5%	85	%	4%
	AB	11% 3%		9%	6%
	SK/MB	5% 2%	85%		8%
	ON	5% 4%	84%		6%
	QC	2% 5%	86%		8%
INCOME	ATL	4% 3%	89%		4%
					- 70
	Less than \$50,000	5% 4%	84%		7%
	\$50,000 to \$100,000	4% 5%	87%	6	4%
FDUCATION	Over \$100,000	10% 2%	84		4%
EDUCATION					
	HS or less	5% 4%	84%		7%
	College	4% 4%	85%		6%
	University	<u>6%</u> 4%	84%		6%
	-				
	<mark>_</mark> Yes	Maybe	No 🗧	Don't know / no opinion	



Do you currently work in the oil, gas, or coal industry, or in a job closely related to those sectors?

WOULD YOU WANT YOUR CHILD TO BE EMPLOYED IN THE OIL AND GAS INDUSTRY THOSE 18 TO 65





If you have or plan to have children, would you want your child to be employed in the oil and gas industry?

WOULD YOU WANT YOUR CHILD TO BE EMPLOYED IN THE OIL AND GAS INDUSTRY

THOSE 18 TO 65

GENDER	ALL	11%	18%	46%	6	25%	
GENDER	Male 15% Female 7% 16%		21%	21% 43% 48%		<u>21%</u> 29%	
AGE	18 - 29	10%	16%	46 %		27%	
	30 - 44 45 - 59	10% 13%	<u>21%</u> 19%		48% 4%	20% 24%	
REGION	60 and over	10%	17%	45%	- 10	29%	
	BC AB	10% 18%	19%	4 5 26%	9% 34 %	22% 21%	
	SK/MB ON	15%	<u>22%</u>		37%	26% 26%	
	QC ATL	7% 14 10%	% 13%	53% 50%		27% 27%	
	s than \$50,000	10%	16%	48%		26%	
\$50,00	00 to \$100,000 Over \$100,000	11% 12%	21% 22%		44%	23% 21%	
EDUCATION	HS or less	12%	16%	44%		29%	
	College University	10% 11%	18% 22%	46%	47%	<u>26%</u> 21%	
CURRENTLY WORK IN OIL AND GAS	Yes		57	%	13%	25% 4%	
	No	9%	17%	51%		23%	
	Yes		Maybe	No No	Don't know /	-	
						ABACUS DA	

If you have or plan to have children, would you want your child to be employed in the oil and gas industry?